

### REMARKS

1. In response to the Office Action mailed February 27, 2004, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Claims 1-27 were originally presented for examination in this application. All claims were rejected in the outstanding Office Action. By the foregoing amendment, claims 1-27 have been cancelled and new claims 28-56 have been added. Of these 29 claims, four (4) claims (claims 28, 47, 50, and 56) are independent. These amendments are believed not to introduce new matter and their entry is respectfully requested.

2. Applicant notes with appreciation the examiner's acceptance of the formal drawings filed on April 30, 2001.

3. Applicant acknowledges the additional references made of record in form PTO-892 attached to the outstanding Office Action.

4. Various claims were objected to due to various informalities. In addition, a number of claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particular point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. These objections and rejections have been rendered moot due to the cancellation of the pending claims. These objections and rejections, however, were considered in the drafting of the foregoing new claims.

5. Claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15-27 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,275,225B1 to Rangarajan, et al. (hereinafter "Rangarajan".) Claims 7 and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangarajan. Applicant respectfully asserts that new claims 28-56 are patentable over Rangarajan taking alone or in combination with the other art of record.

6. For example, with regard to new independent claims 28 and 47, Rangarajan fails to disclose, teach or suggest a portal view profile that references at least one data minor module which is one of the plurality of data minor modules stored in a library, and which accesses predetermined information from a predetermined entity or function in the networked computing environment. In contrast, Rangarajan requires the user to determine which entities and what information from such entities are to be displayed in Rangarajan's graphical user interface. (See, Rangarajan, cols. 5 & 6.) Nor does Rangarajan disclose, teach or suggest displaying a network administrator's portal view display automatically with no action by the

network administrator beyond logging into the service information portal as recited in new independent claims 50 and 57. For at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully asserts the new independent claims are patentable over the art of record. Applicant further asserts that the dependent are patentable for at least the same reasons as those noted above.

***Conclusion***

7. In view of the foregoing, this application should be in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael G. Verga  
Reg. No. 39,410  
Tel. (703) 563-2005

May 20, 2004